Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,395	MUTHALI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph Chang	2817	

All Participants:

(1) *Joseph Chang*.

(2) *Nathan R. Maki*.

Status of Application: *Allow*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *15 December 2005*

Time: *12:00pm*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
29-31

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claims 29-31 were dependent from cancelled claims and needed to be corrected for proper dependency

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)